

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Adams COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 7.0 Bp. 149 Acades, Vigina 2210-149

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKETING. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/763,135 | 06/05/2001 | David Street | 3848-010270 | 3534 |
| 25 | 90 01/23/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| Richard L Byr | | | BRUENJES, CERUSTOPHER P | |
| 700 Koppers Bu | | | | |
| 436 Seventh Avenue | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818 | | | 1772 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Advisory Action | 09/763,135 | SENEOR, DAVID |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Christopher P Bruenjes | 1772 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | on appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2003 FAILS T Therefore, further action by the applicant is requir final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be e condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice o Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | ed to avoid abandonment of this ither: (1) a timely filed amendme f Appeal (with appeal fee): or (3) | application. A proper reply to a |
| PERIOD F | OR REPLY (check either a) or b | N . |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the main | g date of the final rejection | |
| b) The period for reply regime on .(1) the mailing data of event, however, with the statutory period for reply expr ONLY OHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPI ZHOROSOFT, THE REPI REPI Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.1386, or howe been filled in the date for purposes of determining the period chance been filled in the date for purposes of determining the period should be a supposed on the period of the period of the 30 shows, of checked, day reply received by prior did not the summer plant time makesterners. See 32 CFR 1.70460. | e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing. Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS. The date on which the petition under 37 is of extension and the corresponding amount hostened statutory period for seek principle. | g date of the final rejection OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee nd of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under user in the final Office and the second of the feet. |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (| ellant's Brief must be filed within 37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism | the period set forth in |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require | e further consideration and/or se | arch (see NOTE below): |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see | | ,, |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | ation in better form for appeal b | y materially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without | canceling a corresponding numb | er of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: see continuation sheet. | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requapplication in condition for allowance becau | uest for reconsideration has been use: see continuation sheet, | considered but does NOT place the |
| B. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider raised by the Examiner in the final rejection | ed because it is not directed SO | LELY to issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amen explanation of how the new or amended cla | dment(s) a) will not be entered ims would be rejected is provide | d or b) will be entered and an d below or appended. |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fo | llows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: none | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: non | | |
| 3. The drawing correction filed on is a) | approved or b) disapprove | ed by the Examiner. |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure St | stement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No | o(s) |
| 0. Other: | | |
| | | |
| Percri and Tredemark Office OL-303 (Rev. 11-03) | Advisory Action | Part of Paper No. 20040107 |

Application/Control Number: 09/763,135 Art Unit: 1772

ADVISORY ACTION

Acknowledgement of Applicant's Amendment

1. The amendments made in claims 1 and 4 given in Applicant's amendment filed November 24, 2003 have not been entered due to the fact that they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Changing the claim from comprising to consisting of, raises new issues because now the scope of the claims are limited to only two reservoirs instead of at least two, and the second reservoir is limited to a paper material and polyurethane only.

Response to Amendment

2. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed Movember 24, 2003 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-10 based upon 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 as set forth in the last Office action because: The showing is not commensurate in scope with claims as presented prior to the after final amendment, and the amendments filed in the after final were not entered because they changed the scope of the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,135 Art Unit: 1772

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

 Applicant's arguments presented in the Paper filed on November 24, 2003 have no been considered because the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims as presented before the non-entered after final amendment.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

Christopher P Bruenjes

Examiner

Art Unit 1772 CF B

January 7, 2004

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN

19/04